

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/650,604	08/30/2000	Thomas J. Krutsick	5 9105			
75	90 04/26/2004	EXAMINER				
	OF PETER V. D. WILD	SEFER, AHMED N				
301 EAST LAN WILLIAMSBU	NDING IRG, VA 23185		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2826			
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/26/2004	DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		09/650,604		KRUTSICK, THOMAS J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		A. Sefer		2826				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		r sheet with the co	orrespondence ac	ddress			
Period fo	or Reply							
THE - External after - If the control of the contro	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply preceived by the office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	V. 1.136(a). In no event, how reply within the statutory minod will apply and will expire tute, cause the application.	vever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time he mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lly. communication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 January 2004.						
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 又	Claim(s) 28-42 is/are pending in the applica	tion.						
٠,٣	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are diletted. Claim(s) 28-41 is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>42</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
,	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examinor. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
٠٠,١	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
,) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			ed in this Nationa	ıl Stage			
	application from the International Bur			. al				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified (opies not receive	ea.				
Attachme	nt(s)							
· —	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	Interview Summary	-				
3) 🔲 Info	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ oer No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:		ГО-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/650,604

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on January 28, 2004 has been entered and new claims 40-42 have been added.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed January 28, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no rational basis for combining Kondo USPN 4,609,935 and Nelson et al. ("Nelson") USPN 3,683,491.
- 3. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, what Kondo lacks namely, a portion of a bottom surface of a field plate extending through a contact window, is disclosed by Nelson in fig. 9 wherein a field plate 46 with a portion of a bottom surface extending through a contact window in an insulating layer 32 and into contact with a contact region 38 of a resistor 34.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2826

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 40 and 41 recite the limitation "the method of claim ...". Since both claims 28 and 35 on which claims 40 and 41 depend respectively are drawn to device, there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 28-30, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo USPN 4,609,935 in view of Nelson USPN 3,683,491.

Kondo discloses (see figs. 6-10 and col. 6, lines 46-49) an integrated circuit having a field-plated resistor, the field-plated resistor comprising a resistor body 35 formed in a semiconductor substrate, the resistor body having first and second contact regions; a first insulating layer 33 over the resistor body, the first insulating layer approximately coextensive with the resistor body and having a top surface and a bottom surface; a contact window in the first insulating layer (not shown) and extending from the top surface of the first insulating layer through the first insulating layer to the resistor body; a field

Art Unit: 2826

plate 39 comprising polysilicon (as in claim 29) on the first insulating layer and approximately coextensive therewith and with the resistor body, the field plate having a top surface and a bottom surface; a second insulating layer 42, with a first portion of the second insulating covering the field plate, an electrical contact to the top surface of the field plate; an electrical contact to the second contact region of the resistor, and electrically insulated from the field plate by oxide layer 38 and a plurality metal conductors 44 formed on the first portion of the second insulating layer, but omits a portion of the bottom surface of the field plate extending through the contact window

Nelson discloses in fig. 9 a field plate 46 with a portion of the bottom surface extending through a contact window in an insulating layer 32 and into contact with a contact region 38 of a resistor 34.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Nelson's teachings with Kondo's device, since that would provide high values of resistance.

As to claim 30, Kondo discloses first and second insulating oxide layers.

As to claims 33 and 34, Kondo discloses a barrier layer 41.

8. Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo in view of Nelson as applied to claims 28 and 29 above, and further in view of Davis et al. ("Davis") USPN 5,200,733.

The combined references disclose the device structure as recited in the claim, but do not disclose an insulative spacer formed around a field plate.

Davis discloses in fig. 11 an insulative spacer 40 around a field plate.

Art Unit: 2826

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ an insulative spacer around a field plate, since that would provide the field plate an excellent insulation.

9. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo USPN 4,609,935 in view of Nelson USPN 3,683,491.

Kondo discloses (see figs. 6-10 and col. 6, lines 46-49) an integrated circuit having a field-plated resistor, the field-plated resistor comprising a resistor body 35 formed in a semiconductor substrate, the resistor body having first and second contact regions; a first insulating layer 33 over the resistor body, the first insulating layer approximately coextensive with the resistor body and having a top surface and a bottom surface; a contact window in the first insulating layer (not shown) and extending from the top surface of the first insulating layer through the first insulating layer and approximately coextensive therewith and with the resistor body, the field plate having a top surface and a bottom surface; a second insulating layer 42, with a first portion of the second insulating covering the field plate, a metal layer comprising an electrical contact to the top surface of the field plate, an electrical contact to the second contact region of the resistor, and electrically insulated from the field plate by oxide layer 38 and a plurality metal conductors 44 formed on the first portion of the second insulating layer, but omits a portion of the bottom surface of the field plate extending through the contact window.

Nelson discloses in fig. 9 a field plate 46 with a portion of the bottom surface extending through a contact window in an insulating layer 32 and into contact with a contact region 38 of a resistor 34.

Art Unit: 2826

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Nelson's teachings with Kondo's device, since that would provide high values of resistance.

10. Claims 36-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo USPN 4,609,935 in view of Nelson USPN 3,683,491.

Kondo discloses (see figs. 4-10 and col. 6, lines 46-49) a method of the manufacture of an integrated circuit having a field-plated resistor, the field-plated resistor comprising forming a resistor body 35 in a semiconductor substrate, the resistor body having first and second contact regions; a first insulating layer 33 over the resistor body, the first insulating layer approximately coextensive with the resistor body and having a top surface and a bottom surface; forming a contact window in the first insulating layer (not shown) and extending from the top surface of the first insulating layer through the first insulating layer through the first insulating layer to the resistor body; forming a field plate 39 comprising polysilicon (as in claim 37) on the first insulating layer and approximately coextensive therewith and with the resistor body, the field plate having a top surface and a bottom surface; depositing a second insulating layer 42, with a first portion of the second insulating covering the field plate; depositing a metal layer comprising an electrical contact to the top surface of the field plate, an electrical contact to the second contact region of the resistor, and electrically insulated from the field plate by oxide layer 38 and a plurality metal conductors 44 formed on the first portion of the second insulating layer, but omits a portion of the bottom surface of the field plate extending through the contact window.

Art Unit: 2826

Nelson et al disclose in fig. 9 a field plate 46 with a portion of the bottom surface extending through a contact window in an insulating layer 32 and into contact with a contact region 38 of a resistor 34.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Nelson's teachings with Kondo's device, since that would provide high values of resistance.

As to claim 38, Kondo discloses first and second insulating oxide layers.

11. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo in view of Nelson as applied to claims 36 and 38 above, and further in view of Davis USPN 5,200,733.

The combined references disclose the device structure as recited in the claim, but do not disclose an insulative spacer formed around a field plate.

Davis et al disclose in fig. 11 an insulative spacer 40 around a field plate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ an insulative spacer around a field plate, since that would provide the field plate an excellent insulation.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claim 42 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. Claims 40 and 41 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1921.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (571) 272-1915.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2826

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANS April 18, 2004

dbulton Em

Minhloan Tran Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826